

### REMARKS/ARGUMENT

Applicant wishes to thank the Examiner for the courtesy of a telephone interview on May 8, 2006. During the interview, the Examiner and Applicant's representative discussed the claimed invention and prior art reference, U.S. Patent No. 4,773,468 to Lauener ("Lauener"). Applicant also discussed some of the features of the invention including the shrink fitting between the claimed roll core and the roll shell and the roughness defined between the roll core and the roll shell. The roughness is recited in independent claims 1, 52, 53 and 55. The shrink fit is recited in independent claims 1, 37 and 53. Such limitations are not shown in Lauener. For example, as shown in Fig. 2 of Lauener, roll shell 1 is in contact with rods 4, appears to have no contact with roll core 3 and is certainly not shrink fit with roll core 3. Moreover, there is no discussion of roughness in Lauener and certainly not the roughness ranges in claims 1 and 52.

The Examiner indicated he was receptive to the above arguments and would be withdrawing both the prior art rejection and the finality of the Office Action.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on May 12, 2006.

Robert C. Faber

Name of applicant, assignee or  
Registered Representative

Robert C. Faber

Signature

May 12, 2006

Date of Signature

RCF:SSR:bar

Respectfully submitted,

Robert C. Faber

Robert C. Faber

Registration No.: 24,322

OSTROLENK, FABER, GERB & SOFFEN, LLP

1180 Avenue of the Americas

New York, New York 10036-8403

Telephone: (212) 382-0700